

Egunero ariketa fisiko labur baina bizia egiteak nabarmen hobetzen du osasuna

Orain arte jakinekoa ez bazen ere, egunero zenbait aldiz ariketa fisiko labur-laburrak baina biziak egitea estrategia oso eraginkorra da osasuna hobetzeko. Minbiziagatik edo gaitz kardiobaskularrengatik hiltzeko arriskua % 40 baino gehiago murrizten du. Garrantzitsua da datu hori osasunpolitiketan aintzat hartzea eta bizimodu aktiboa sustatzeko baliatzea.

Orain arte, Osasunaren Mundu Erakundeak astero 150-300 minutuz ariketa fisiko lasaia edo 75-150 minutuz ariketa fisiko bizia egitea gomendatu izan du, osasun orokorra zaintze aldera. Alabaina, azken ikerketek frogatu dute ariketa bizi oso laburrak ere lagungarri direna osasuntsu egoteko.

Bizimodu pasiboa duten 25.000 pertsonari baino gehiagori jarraipena eginez, ikusi dute egunero ariketa biziko minutu bateko edo biko hiru saio labur txertatze soilak % 38-40 murrizten duela minbiziagatik eta bestelako arrazoi batzuengatik hiltzeko arriskua, eta % 48-49 gaitz kardiobaskularren eraginez hiltzekoa. Eguneroko bizitzan erraz egin daitezkeen ariketak dira: eskailerak bizi igotzea, azkar ibiltzea eta pisuak garraiatzea, besteak beste. Ariketa fisikoa gimnasioan, kirol batean zein bestelako entrenamenduetan modu egituratu batean egitea bezain eraginkorra omen da, ikerketaren arabera.

Ariketa fisiko biziak inflamazioa murriztu eta bihotz-biriketako gaitasuna hobetzen du, eta horrek ondorio zuzenak ditu organismoan: bihotzbiriketako gaitasuna unitate metaboliko baten baliokidea hobetzen den bakoitzeko, % 7 murrizten da gaitz kardiobaskularrak garatzeko eta minbiziagatik hiltzeko arriskua. Bularreko, endometrioko eta koloneko minbizietan, besteak beste.

Kirol-erlojuei esker jakin da hori guztia. Orain arte, 10 minututik gorako ariketa fisikoa bakarrik hartu da aintzat, eta aisialdian egiten diren kirol-ekintzekin lotu izan da. Eskumuturreko azelerometroen teknologia eramangarria garatzeak, ordea, agerian jarri du zenbateko eragina duten egunerokotasunean egiten diren ariketa laburrek.

Bizitza sedentarioari aurre

Emaitzek inplikazio handiak dituzte osasun publikoaren alorrean. 40 urtetik gorako heldu gehienek bizitza sedentarioa izaten dute, askori ez baitzaie erakargarri egiten ariketa fisiko egituratua, edo ezin baitute halakorik egin. Ondorioz, haien osasunak okerrera egiten du zahartu aurretik.

Egunerokotasunean ariketa bizi intermitenteak txertatzea, ordea, eskuragarria da edozeinentzat, ez baitu eskatzen prestakuntza fisikorik, ez instalazio berezirik ezta denbora-inbertsiorik ere. Nahikoa da egunerokotasunak eskatzen dituen ariketak modu kontzientean egitea.

Ikertzaileen ustez, garrantzitsua da osasun publikoko politikek eta gizarte-politikek aintzat hartzea emaitza horiek. Herritarrek, erosotasunaren izenean, neke-momentu txikiak dakartzaten jarduerak ekiditeko joera izaten dute egunerokoan, eta garrantzitsua da jarduera bizi horiek berriz integratzeko jarraibideak ematea. Esaterako, igogailua baztertu eta eskailerak igotzea, erosketetako poltsak garraiatzea edo etxeko lan biziak berreskuratzea. Intentsitate handiko jarduera horiek osasuna ematen dutela ulertzea biztanleriaren osasun orokorra hobetu eta gaitz larriak ekiditeko estrategia moduan baliatu daiteke.

«Egunerokotasunean minutu bateko ariketa biziak txertatzeak % 38-40 murrizten du minbiziagatik hiltzeko arriskua»

Association of wearable device-measured vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity with mortality

Emmanuel Stamatakis^{1*}, Matthew N. Ahmadi¹, Jason M. R. Gill², Cecilie Thøgersen-Ntoumani³, Martin J. Gibala⁴, Aiden Doherty⁵ & Mark Hamer⁶

¹ Charles Perkins Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney; ² School of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Health, University of Glasgow; ³ Danish Centre for Motivation and Behaviour Science, Department of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark; ⁴ Department of Kinesiology, McMaster University; ⁵ Big Data Institute, Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford; ⁶Institute Sport Exercise Health, Division Surgery Interventional Science, University College London

ABSTRACT: Wearable devices can capture unexplored movement patterns such as brief bursts of vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity (VILPA) that is embedded into everyday life, rather than being done as leisure time exercise. Here, we examined the association of VILPA with all-cause, cardiovascular disease (CVD) and cancer mortality in 25,241 nonexercisers (mean age 61.8 years, 14,178 women/11,063 men) in the UK Biobank. Over an average follow-up of 6.9 years, during which 852 deaths occurred, VILPA was inversely associated with all three of these outcomes in a near-linear fashion. Compared with participants who engaged in no VILPA, participants who engaged in VILPA at the sample median VILPA frequency of 3 length-standardized bouts per day (lasting 1 or 2 min each) showed a 38%–40% reduction in all-cause and cancer mortality risk and a 48%–49% reduction in CVD mortality risk. Moreover, the sample median VILPA duration of 4.4 min per day was associated with a 26%–30% reduction in all-cause and cancer mortality risk and a 32%–34% reduction in CVD mortality risk. We obtained similar results when repeating the above analyses for vigorous physical activity (VPA) in 62,344 UK Biobank participants who exercised (1,552 deaths, 35,290 women/27,054 men). These results indicate that small amounts of vigorous nonexercise physical activity are associated with substantially lower mortality. VILPA in nonexercisers appears to elicit similar effects to VPA in exercisers, suggesting that VILPA may be a suitable physical activity target, especially in people not able or willing to exercise.

How to cite / Nola aipatu: Stamatakis, Emmanuel *et al.* «Association of wearable device-measured vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity with mortality», *Nature Medicine* | Volumen 28 | December 2022:2521–2529 (https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591- $(022-02100-x)$

 Published by UPV/EHU Press under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited

^{*} Corresponding author / Harremanetan jartzeko: Emmanuel Stamatakis, Charles Perkins Centre, Faculty of Medicine and Health, The University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia. e-mail: [emmanuel.stamatakis@sydney.edu.au.](mailto:emmanuel.stamatakis@sydney.edu.au) Contributed by Jon Irazusta editoreak egindako ekarpena.

Physical activity is associated with reduced mortality risk[1], and reduced risk of CVD[1] and certain cancers [2-4]. Recently updated guidelines [4, 5], based mostly on questionnaire-derived evidence, recommend 150-300 min of moderate-intensity activity or 75-150 min of vigorous-intensity physical activity (≥6 metabolic equivalents) per week. New emphasis is placed on "all activity counts" occurring across all life domains and regardless of bout duration. This recommendation contrasts with previous guide-lines [6, 7] that did not recognize the health value of physical activity bouts lasting <10 min. Besides, little evidence supports the previous guideline because questionnaires can typically capture only longer bouts (for example, ≥ 10 min) of physical activity and often concentrate on leisure time activities such as gym-based exercise, running and sports [8, 9].

Figure 1. Flow diagram of non-exercisers. Flow diagram of UK Biobank participants for the dose–response analyses of VILPA

The health effects of each time unit of physical activity are intensity dependent [10-13]. For a given volume of physical activity, higher contributions of VPA are associated with additional mortality risk reduction [10-13]. This is partly due to the enhanced cardiorespiratory adaptations it causes [14] and the protection it offers against the development of certain cancers [15, 16]. Although vigorous-intensity physical activity is time-efficient, vigorous structured exercise-based sessions (for

example, gym-based, sports, high-intensity interval training) are not feasible or appealing to the majority of middle-aged adults, as indicated by the very low participation rates [8, 9, 17]. Over a median follow-up of 3.1 years, a previous UK Biobank accelerometry study [18] concluded that moderate-to vigorous-intensity activity was associated with greater reductions in all-cause mortality risk than lower-intensity activity. However, VPA was not specifically quantified in this study [18].

The columns breakdown corresponds to length-standardized VILPA bouts. Values represent mean (s.d.) unless specified otherwise. A/AS level, ; CSE, ; Higher National Certificate, ; Higher National Diploma, ; IQR, interquartile range; National Vocational Qualification, ; O level, . ¹Alcohol consumption: above guidelines is >14 units per week, where 1 unit = 8 g of ethanol. ²Fruits and vegetable consumption: low is <5 servings per day, high is >8 servings per day. 3 Daily duration of light-, moderate- and vigorous-intensity activity. 'Calculated from the CVD mortality sample (n = 23,903). ⁵Calculated from the cancer mortality sample (n = 22,699).

VILPA [19] refers to brief and sporadic (for example, up to 1 or up to 2 min long) bouts of vigorous-intensity physical activity done as part of daily living, such as bursts of very fast walking while commuting to work or moving from place to place, or stair climbing [20]. No cohort study has examined the associations of VILPA with mortality or other prospective outcomes. For most adults, VILPA may be

more feasible than structured exercise because it requires minimal time commitment and involves no specific preparation, equipment or access to facilities. Many common activities of daily living are likely to elicit relative vigorous-intensity effort in physically inactive adults with poor fitness who do not habitually exercise [21], which is the majority demographic in many countries [8, 9, 22, 23].

In contrast to questionnaires, wearable devices such as wrist [24, 25] or thigh [26, 27] accelerometers continuously record movement at a high resolution allowing them to capture fine-grain patterns of brief physical activity bouts, such as VILPA [19]. The rapidly growing use of wearable devices in research [25, 27-30] and among consumers [31] offers opportunities to better understand the health-enhancing potential of VILPA and analogous unexplored movement "micro-patterns". Such potential is greatly enhanced by the recent application of machine learning [32-34] in studies using wearable devices to understand the health effects of movement. In a sample of UK Biobank participants with accelerometry data who reported no exercise in their leisure time, we examined the dose– response curves and minimum VILPA dose (daily duration and bout frequency) associated with all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality risk. To provide a population-wide context for our findings, we also examined the dose–response associations of (exercise or nonexercise) VPA with the same mortality outcomes among exercisers in the UK Biobank accelerometry substudy.

1. Results

1.1. Description of thestudy sample

Figure 1 shows the sample derivation process, which resulted in 25,241 (all-cause mortality analyses)/23,903 (CVD mortality analyses)/22,699 (cancer mortality analyses) UK Biobank participants being included in the corresponding analyses. Table 1 presents the characteristics of the sample by daily VILPA frequency. The mean (s.d.) age of participants was 61.8 (7.6) years, and 56.2% were female. Over a mean follow-up of 6.9 (0.8) years (175,528 person-years), 852 deaths were recorded (266 due to CVD and 511 due to cancer).

Supplementary Fig. 1 describes the sample derivation process for the exercisers sample (defined as those who reported any leisure time exercise/sports or more than one recreational walk per week). Over a mean follow-up of 6.9 (0.8) years (432,545 person-years) 62,344 exercisers were included in the all-cause mortality analyses (1,552 events), 56,810 were included in the CVD mortality analyses (303 events) and 56,397 were included in the cancer mortality analyses (736 events). Supplementary Table 1 describes the characteristics of exercisers who, in comparison with the nonexercisers, had higher educational attainment (46.3% versus 36.8% with college/university degree), higher self-rated health (25.2% versus 13.7% with excellent health) and lower medication use (for example, 15.3% versus 20.3% taking blood pressure medication).

1.2. VILPA summary and nonexerciserstatus

To enable examination of VILPA in our study (brief bouts of nonexercise VPA occurring during daily living), we used information on exercise participation available in the UK Biobank Study (Supplementary Table 2). Our core VILPA analyses only included 25,241 participants who at the UK Biobank baseline (on average 5.5 years before the accelerometry baseline) reported no leisure time exercise participation and no more than one recreational walk per week. For use in sensitivity analyses, we also derived an alternative, more conservative, definition of nonexercisers by excluding participants who reported any recreational walking in addition to any leisure time exercise $(n = 10,230)$. A subsample analysis among 2,407 participants of our core sample who had a UK Biobank re-examination an average (s.d.) of 1.5 (1.4) years before the accelerometry measurements showed that the nonexerciser status was stable over time: 82% reported no leisure time physical activity and no more than one recreational walking session per week on both time points. Among the 6,095 entire UK Biobank accelerometry sample participants who reported no exercise at baseline and had a re-examination, 88% maintained their no leisure time physical activity status over time.

In the core VILPA analyses sample of 25,241 participants, almost all VILPA was accrued in bouts lasting up to 1 or up to 2 min: 92.3% of bouts lasted up to 1 min and 97.7% lasted up to 2 min. Excluding VILPA values of zero, the median and maximum VILPA daily duration was 4.0 and 16.0 min per day for both bout lengths; the median and maximum VILPA frequency was 3.0 and 11.0 length-standardized bouts per day.

Among the 62,344 exercisers entered in the comparative analyses, the large majority of context-agnostic (exercise or nonexercise) VPA was accrued in bouts lasting up to 2 min (93.1% of all VPA bouts). Median and maximum VPA daily duration was 6.2 and 18.0 min per day; the median and maximum daily frequency was 4.4 and 14.0 length-standardized bouts per day. In the nonexercisers sample, 11.2% of participants recorded no VILPA. In the exercisers sample, 6.9% recorded no VPA.

1.3. Associations of VILPA with all-cause mortality

In multivariable-adjusted analyses (adjusted for age, sex, light- and moderate-intensity physical activity, longer VPA bouts, smoking, alcohol, sleep duration [35, 36], fruit and vegetable consumption, education, parental history of CVD and cancer, medication use, and prevalent CVD and cancer; Supplementary Table 3), bouts lasting up to 1 min (Fig. 2a,c) and up to 2 min (Fig. 2b,d), exhibited a near —linear dose— response associations of daily VILPA daily duration and frequency with all-cause

mortality. Supplementary Table 4A presents the hazard ratio (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) associated with the minimum dose (eliciting 50% of the total effect) [37, 38], and the median and maximum VILPA daily duration and frequency for each bout length. The minimum frequency dose for length-standardized VILPA bouts lasting 1 min was 1.5 bouts per day corresponding to a HR of 0.75 (95% CI 0.66, 0.85). The median and maximum VIL-PA frequency for length-standardized bouts lasting 1 min were associated with a HR of 0.61 (0.50, 0.74) and 0.52 (0.37, 0.72), respectively. The minimal daily duration dose [37, 38] for VILPA bouts lasting up to 1 min was 3.4 min per day corresponding to a HR of 0.78 (95% CI 0.70, 0.86). The median and maximum VILPA volumes for bouts lasting up to 1 min were associated with a HR of 0.73 (0.63,0.85) and 0.59 (0.46, 0.74). All-cause mortality findings for bouts lasting up to 2 min were similar in terms of the dose–response curves (Fig. 2b,d), the minimal dose values and the magnitude of the associations linked to the median and maximum VILPA daily duration and frequency (Supplementary Table 4A).

Figure 2. Association of the daily duration and frequency of VILPA with all-cause mortality. a,b, Dose–response curves showing all-cause mortality

HR associated with increasing daily duration of VILPA, for bouts of VILPA up to 1 min (a) and 2 min (b) in duration. c,d, Dose–response curves showing all-cause mortality HR associated with increasing daily frequency of VILPA, for length-standardized bouts of VILPA 1 min (c) and 2 min (d) in duration. Data are shown for *n* = 25,241 participants with 852 events and with a mean followup of 6.9 (0.8) years. Diamond, minimal dose, as indicated by the ED50 statistic which estimates the daily duration/frequency of VILPA associated with 50% of optimal risk reduction. Circle, HR associated with the median VILPA value (see Supplementary Table 4 for the list of values). Data are adjusted for the covariates listed in the online Methods. The shaded region demarcated by dashed lines represents the 95% CI. The solid line that lies within the shaded region represents the HR. The arrowhead represents the absence of an observed inflection point (for example, larger risk reduction with higher amounts of VILPA). The histogram on the right shows the sample distribution.

1.4. Associations of VILPA with CVD mortality

The beneficial associations found in the CVD mortality multivariable-adjusted analyses were more pronounced than the all-cause mortality findings for both bout lengths (Fig. 3a-d and Supplementary Table 4B). For example, the minimum frequency dose for length-standardized VILPA bouts lasting 1 min was 1.4 bouts per day corresponding to a HR of 0.67 (95% CI 0.52, 0.86), and the median and maximum VILPA frequency were associated with a HR of 0.51 (0.35, 0.74) and 0.35 (0.15, 0.81), respectively. The minimal CVD mortality daily duration dose for VILPA bouts lasting up to 1 min was 3.4 min per day corresponding to a HR of 0.73 (95% CI 0.58, 0.91). The median and maximum VILPA daily duration values were associated with a HR of 0.66 (0.50, 0.88) and 0.45 (0.29, 0.72), respectively. CVD mortality findings for bouts lasting up to 2 min were very similar in terms of the dose–response curves (Fig. 3b,d) and all other metrics (Supplementary Table 4B).

1.5. Associations of VILPA with cancer mortality

The findings of the cancer mortality multivariable-adjusted analyses were very consistent with the equivalent all-cause mortality analyses outlined above, in terms of both the dose–response curves (Fig. 4a-d) and the point estimates associated with the minimum dose and the median and maximum VILPA frequency and daily duration values (Supplementary Table 4C). For example, the minimum frequency dose for length-standardized VILPA bouts lasting 1 min was 1.5 bouts per day corresponding to a HR of 0.75 (95% CI 0.63, 0.88). The minimal cancer mortality daily duration dose for VILPA bouts lasting up to 1 min was 3.4 min per day corresponding to a HR of 0.76 (95% CI 0.66, 0.87), whereas the median and maximum VILPA daily duration values were associated with a HR of 0.70 (0.59, 0.84) and 0.51 (0.38, 0.69). Like the other two mortality outcomes, cancer mortality findings for bouts lasting up to 2 min were very similar to bouts lasting up to 1 min across all metrics (Fig. 4a-d and Supplementary Table 4C).

1.6. Sensitivity analyses

Excluding participants with poor health (*n* = 1,223) and additionally adjusting for body mass index (Extended Data Figs. 1-3) did not appreciably change the results.

E-values indicated that for our estimates to be null the association of an unmeasured confounder with exposures and mortality should be a HR (lower 95% CI) of 1.87 (1.54) to 3.26 (2.12) for all-cause mortality; 2.10 (1.44) to 5.16 (1.77) for CVD mortality; or 1.97 (1.56) to 3.50 (2.00) for cancer mortality (Supplementary Table 5).

Categorical analyses of VILPA daily duration (Extended Data Fig. 4a,b) and frequency (Extended Data Fig. 4c,d) by VILPA tertile-based groups produced results consistent with the main dose–response analyses. Similarly, restricting analyses to those who reported no recreational walking and no leisure time exercise ($n = 10,230$) produced results that were very consistent with the main results in the core (*n* = 25,241) VILPA sample (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Figure 3. Association of the daily duration and frequency of VILPA with CVD mortality. a,b, Dose-response curves showing CVD mortality HRs associated with increasing daily duration of VILPA, for bouts of VILPA up to 1 min (a) and 2 min (b) in duration. c,d, Dose-response curves showing CVD mortality HRs associated with increasing daily frequency of VILPA, for length-standardized bouts of VILPA1 min (c) and 2 min (d) in duration. Data are shown for *n* = 23,903 participants with 266 events and with a mean follow-up of 6.9 (0.8) years. Diamond, minimal dose, as indicated by the ED₅₀ statistic which estimates the daily duration/frequency of VILPA associated with 50% of optimal risk reduction. Circle, HR associated with the median VILPA value (see Supplementary Table 4 for the list of values). Data are adjusted for the covariates listed in the online Methods. The shaded region demarcated by dashed lines represents the 95% CI. The solid line that lies within the shaded region represents the HR. The arrowhead represents the absence of an observed inflection point (for example, larger risk reduction with higher amounts of VILPA). The histogram on the right shows the sample distribution

1.7. Comparisons between nonexercisers (VILPA) and exercisers(VPA)

Context-agnostic (that is, exercise or nonexercise) VPA in exercisers exhibited an almost identical daily duration and frequency dose-response to VILPA in nonexercisers for all-cause mortality (Extended Data Figs. 5 and 6), with relatively modest differences in minimum dose (4.8 versus 3.4 min per day). No material differences in the CVD and cancer mortality dose-response curves were evident between the two strata and the corresponding minimum doses (Extended Data Figs. 5B,C and 6B,C). Similarly, no appreciable differences existed between exercisers' and nonexercisers' VPA/VILPA frequency in terms of their dose–response with the three mortality out-

comes (Extended Data Fig. 6). Across all the above analyses there was an almost complete overlap of the 95% CI of the dose-response curves of the two strata/exposures. With very few exceptions, the point estimates associated with the minimum dose and the median VPA frequency and daily duration values (Supplementary Table 6) were also very similar to the equivalent VILPA data (Supplementary Table 4).

Volume analyses based on VILPA (nonexercisers) or VPA (exercisers) energy expenditure (kJ per kg per day) produced evidence of L-shaped associations with all three mortality outcomes, with steeper risk reductions in the lower end of the VILPA/VPA continuum (Supplementary Fig. 3). The only notable exception to this pattern was the

VILPA-CVD mortality curve among nonexercisers which indicated a linear association (Supplementary Fig. 3B). Data sparsity and a low number of events at higher levels of the VILPA energy expenditure makes between-strata comparisons and interpretation of these data challenging and less conclusive.

Despite the large health potential of vigorous-intensity physical activity, most adults aged 40 and over do not do vigorous exercise or sports [8, 9, 17]. Our study is the first investigation, to the best of our knowledge, into the long-term health effects of nonexercise VPA embedded into daily living. We found consistent evidence of beneficial associations of relatively modest VILPA amounts with all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality. VIL-PA in nonexercisers appeared to elicit beneficial dose-response associations with mortality of similar magnitude to VPA in exercisers, a finding that emphasizes the potential of promoting higher intensity physical activity outside the leisure time exercise domain. Our work has relevance for the development of public health and clinical guidelines because people reporting no structured exercise in leisure time, yet recording VILPA bouts, may be unaware that they are taking short bouts of health-enhancing physical activity of higher intensity. Future guidelines could place emphasis on making people aware that they could potentially experience important benefits from VILPA even though they do not consider themselves to be formal "exercisers".

Although steeper mortality risk reductions occurred at the lower end of the VILPA distribution (up to roughly the median frequency and daily duration values, that is around 3-4 length-standardized bouts per day or 4-5 min per day), there were continuing mortal ity gains with more VIL-PA in a near-linear fashion across all three outcomes. With little variation between bouts lasting up to 1 or 2 min and across the three mortality outcomes, a minimum of 3.4-4.1 min of VILPA

per day on average was associated with a 22%- 28% reduction in mortality risk (compared with not doing VILPA). In terms of minimum daily frequency dose, fewer than two VILPA bouts (lasting 1 or 2 min) were associated with 24%-26% reduction in all-cause and cancer, and a 33% reduction in CVD mortality risk. The median VIL-PA frequency of 3 length-standardized bouts per day was associated with a 38%-40% reduction in all-cause and cancer mortality and a 48%-49% reduction in CVD mortality risk. The median daily VILPA duration of 4.4 min per day was associated with a 26%-30% reduction in all-cause and cancer mortality and a 32%-34% reduction in CVD mortality risk.

These results are striking but plausible. Proofof-concept trials [39] have shown that very small doses of exercise-based intermittent VPA can have rapid and measurable effects on cardiorespiratory fitness, a key causal determinant of CVD [14]. High-intensity interval training [40] and studies of intermittent stair climbing [39] have shown that VPA bursts lasting 20 s to a few minutes, performed three to five times a day, can result in substantial improvements in cardiorespiratory fitness in previously inactive adults within a few weeks, providing a plausible physiological basis [14] for the associations we observed. Our comparisons with exercisers suggest that vigorous exertion is equally important and potentially beneficial for people who choose to be active during leisure time and those less able or willing to do so. Maintained or improved cardiorespiratory fitness owing to vigorous exertion (including VILPA) may partly explain the associations with cancer mortality that we observed: previous observational studies have estimated that a one metabolic equivalent unit higher cardiorespiratory fitness (3.5 ml of oxygen uptake per kg per min) is associated with a 7% reduction in total cancer mortality risk [41]. VPA has also been shown to specifically reduce risk of common cancer sites such as breast [15], endometrial [16] and colon [16].

The 32%-34% lower CVD mortality risk associated with the median VILPA duration of 4.4 min per day

Figure 4. Association of the daily duration and frequency of VILPA with cancer mortality. a,b, Dose–response curves showing cancer mortality HRs associated with increasing daily duration of VILPA, for bouts of VILPA up to 1 min (a) and 2 min (b) in duration. c,d, Dose–response curves showing cancer mortality HRs associated with increasing daily frequency of VILPA, for length-standardized bouts of VILPA 1 min (c) and 2 min (d) in duration. Data are shown for *n* = 22,966 participants with 511 events and with a mean follow-up of 6.9 (0.8) years. Diamond, minimal dose, as indicated by the ED50 statistic which estimates the daily duration/frequency of VILPA associated with 50% of optimal risk reduction. Circle, HR associated with the median VILPA value (see Supplementary Table 4 for the list of values). Data are adjusted for the covariates listed in the online Methods. The shaded region demarcated by dashed lines represents the 95% CI. The solid line that lies within the shaded region represents the HR. The arrowhead represents the absence of an observed inflection point (for example, larger risk reduction with higher amounts of VILPA). The histogram on the right shows the sample distribution

(equivalent to just under 31 min of vigorous-intensity physical activity per week) that we observed is comparable with equivalent risk reduction for >75-150 min per week of questionnaire-measured vigorous leisure time physical activity reported in a recent US cohort (36%-45% lower risk compared with no leisure time vigorous activity done in bouts lasting at least 10 min) [11]. This seemingly sizeable difference in VPA amounts associated with a comparable effect size may be explained by the different measurements and domains employed in each study, the select sample of non-exercisers we employed in our study, and the strong possibility that the referent no vigorous leisure time physical activity group in this US study [11] may do some VILPA. Questionnaires [10-12] can only capture con tinuous blocks of time containing a mixture of vigorous activity with interruptions and rest, rather than actual time in vigorous intensity that the wearable devices in our study could quantify. Only one or two in five UK middle-aged adults engage in structured vigorous exercise at least once a month [8, 9, 17], suggesting numerous participation barriers. Our findings highlight the potential value of short VPA bursts during daily living to improve overall and cardiovascular health and reduce risk of cancer.

This is the first study of VILPA and prospective health outcomes, using device-based measurement and machine learning-based methods. Although we cannot entirely rule out reverse causation bias, our results were very robust to relevant sensitivity analyses. E-values indicated that unmeasured confounding is unlikely to explain the associations we observed. Although some VILPA activities (for example, carrying heavy shopping bags) may not be perfectly captured by wrist-worn accelerometers, such measurement error is likely random leading to underestimation of the "true" associations with mortality, CVD and cancer. There was a median lag of 5.5 years between the UK Biobank baseline when covariates measurements were taken and the accelerometry study, although covariates were stable over time, with the exception of medication [18]. In addition, adults' accelerometry-measured physical activity has been shown to be stable over time (for example, >90% of classification accuracy within one quartile over a period of 2-3 years) [42]. The responses to the baseline leisure time physical activity questions (including recreational walking) that formed the basis of our sample selection are subject to measurement error like any other self-reported measure and were also collected 5.5 years before the accelerometry study. However, the nonexerciser status among the UK Biobank accelerometry substudy participants with leisure time physical activity re-examination data was also stable over time (for example, 82%-88% retained the nonexerciser status). The UK Biobank had a very low response rate (5.5%) and it is not representative of the target population [43]. However, recent empirical work has shown that the poor representativeness of the UK Biobank sample does not materially influence the associations between physical activity and mortality outcomes [44].

In conclusion, we found that as few as two or three short bouts or approximately 3-4 min of VILPA per day were associated with substantially lower all-cause, CVD and cancer mortality risk. Although steeper mortality risk reductions occurred at the lower end of the VILPA distribution, there were continuing gains with larger amounts in a near-linear fashion. Individuals who find structured exercise unappealing or infeasible may consider exploring opportunities to introduce brief but regular bouts of VPA into their daily routines. VILPA in nonexercisers appears to elicit similarly beneficial associations with VPA in exercisers. Future guidelines could emphasize that potentially important health benefits could be accrued through VPA even among people who do not consider themselves to be formal "exercisers". Future trials and device-based cohort studies should further investigate the potential of VILPA (and any-domain VPA in general) as a time-efficient and potentially effective intervention for physically inactive and unfit adults. Our approach shows that wearable devices combined with machine learning-based methods and self-reported information can reveal physical activity "micro-patterns" as targets to prevent premature mortality, CVD and cancer in populations not willing and/or not able to engage in structured exercise during leisure time.

3. Methods

3.1. Sample and design

Figure 1 describes the derivation of the analytic sample. The UK Biobank Study is a prospective cohort study of adults aged between 40 and 69 years whose baseline measurements took place between 2006 and 2010. Participants provided informed consent and ethical approval was provided by the UK's National Health Service, National Research Ethics Service (Ethics Committee reference number: 11/ NW/0382).

Between 2013 and 2015 (median 5.5 years after the baseline measurements), 103,684 UK Biobank participants wore a wrist-worn accelerometer for 7 days [24, 25]. We excluded participants with missing covariates and insufficient valid wear days. Monitoring days were considered valid if wear time was greater than 16 h. To be included in analysis, participants were required to have at least three valid monitoring days, with at least one of those days being a weekend day [45, 46]. We excluded participants who reported that they cannot walk.

To enable examination of VILPA in our study (brief bouts of nonex ercise VPA occurring during daily living), we included only participants who reported no leisure time exercise participation and no more than one recreational walk per week. Participation in exercise and recreational walking was measured through a close-ended touch-screen questionnaire that asked participants to report if, how often, and for how long they participate in such activities (Supplementary Table 2). Among the included 14,982 participants who were walking for recreation once a week or less, the average spacing of VILPA bouts was 165.7 (47.0) min within days and 16.7 (5.5) h between days (last session of a day versus first session the day after). The modal median length of the (at most) one and only weekly walking session these participants reported was 30-60 min (32.5% of the 14,982 participants), effectively eliminating the possibility that the device-recorded VILPA bouts occurred during recreational walking.

To provide a comparison between effects of VILPA and (context-agnostic) VPA we repeated the main analyses among "exercisers", defined as those UK Biobank accelerometry substudy participants who did not meet the above criteria to be considered nonexercisers; that is, those who reported any leisure time exercise or more than one recreational walking session per week (Supplementary Table 1).

3.2. Definition of VILPA and choice of bout length

We based the choice of VILPA bout length entered in our analyses on an ongoing study of 58 adults (mean age 55.7 (s.d. 10.1) years) aimed at developing an empirical definition of VILPA (M.N.A., N. Johnson, C.T.-N., M.J.G. and E.S., unpublished data). Participants completed five activities of daily living while wearing an indirect calorimetry unit (Cosmed K5) and Polar heart-rate monitor. The activities included: (1) walking on a flat surface at a self-selected "very fast" pace; (2) walking on a flat surface while carrying shopping-like bags equivalent to 5% of body weight at a self-defined "fast" pace; (3) walking on a flat surface while carrying shopping-like bags equivalent to 10% of body weight at a self-defined "fast" pace; (4) walking at a 2.5% gradient at a self-defined "very fast" pace

(treadmill); and (5) walking at a 7.0% gradient at a self-defined "very fast" pace (treadmill). The sequence of activities was randomized for each participant and counterbalanced across participants to prevent biases due to residual fatigue accumulating during the protocol.

Participants performed each activity until vigorous intensity was reached for two of three criteria: (1) % $\rm VO_{2}$ max (percentage of maximal oxygen updake) (≥64%); (2) %HRmax (percentage of maximal heart rate) $(\geq 77\%)$; and (3) rating of perceived exertion (Borg scale) ≥15. For %VO₂max and %HRmax, the threshold had to be met for at least 30 consecutive seconds to minimize the effects of noise. VO- $_{_2}$ max was calculated using the Ebbeling treadmill test and HRmax was calculated using the Tanaka equation [47]. Between activities, participants had 5 min of seated recovery, or until heart rate and breathing returned to resting levels. Resting VO₂ and heart rate were measured at the beginning of each session with the participant lying supine using 5 min of steady-state (coefficient of variation \leq 10%). The duration to reach vigorous intensity across all five activities is shown in Supplementary Table 7. As the mean time required to reach vigorous intensity in two of the above three physiological intensity indices was 73.5 s (s.d. 26.2 s) across all activities, we decided to test VILPA bouts lasting up to 1 and up to 2 min in the present analyses. As the length of raw bouts within these two VILPA frequency exposures was highly variable, we length-standardized analytic bouts to one minute (for raw bouts lasting up to 1 minute) or two minutes (for raw bouts lasting up to 2 minutes) using a rolling sum on the time-series data until 1 or 2 minutes, respectively, was reached or exceeded. For example, a participant with five consecutive raw bouts lasting up to 1 minute each (20, 30, 20, 40, and 10 seconds long), would be assigned 1.83 analytic bouts: the first three raw bouts would count as one and the rolling sum would be reset; then the last two raw counts would count as 0.83 length-standardised bouts (50 seconds divided by 60). This bout handling has analytic and interpretational advantages: a) it mitigates against the problem of multicollinearity between raw VILPA frequency and daily VILPA duration, and b) permits a more concrete behavioural interpretation of the VILPA frequency findings than raw bouts, as each length-standardised bout can be specifically interpreted as lasting 1 minute or 2 minutes.

3.3. Wearable device-based physical activity classification

The methods we describe here were used to classify physical activity intensity in both the nonexercisers (main analyses) and exercisers (additional analyses) strata. Supplementary Fig. 4 summarizes how activity intensity was classified using a previously validated random forest (RF) activity classifier [33]. RF is an ensemble of multiple decision trees. Each tree is learned on a bootstrap sample of training data and each node in the tree is split using the best among a randomly selected set of acceleration features. The decisions from each tree are aggregated and a final model prediction is based on majority vote. The RF model requires very little preprocessing of the data because the features do not need to be normalized. In addition, the model is resistant to over-fitting the training data because each tree within the forest is independently grown to maximum depth using a randomly selected subset of features.

This two-stage classifier first categorized physical activity in 10-s windows into one of four activity classes: sedentary, standing utilitarian movements (for example, ironing a shirt, washing dishes), walking activities (for example, gardening, active commuting, mopping floors), running/high energetic activities (for example, active playing with children). These activity classes were then assigned to one of four activity intensities: sedentary, light, moderate and vigorous. Walking activities were classified as light (an acceleration value of <100 mg), moderate (≥100 mg) and vigorous (≥400 mg) intensity [48]. For example, for a VILPA bout lasting up to 2 min, 12 consecutive 10-s windows needed to be classified as vigorous. When there were more than 12 consecutive vigorous activity windows, these bouts counted as long VPA sessions in the corresponding analyses (2.3% of all VPA bouts). Differentiation between sleep [36] and nonwear [35] was identified using the

change in tilt angle and acceleration standard deviation. Monitors were calibrated [49] and corrected for orientation [50] using previously published methods, although residual signal and alignment uncertainties may persist.

Activities in an independent sample of 98 participants (age 56.4 ± 15.7 years ; 53.1% female) from the US [51] (University of California Irvine Center for Machine Learning and Intelligent Systems Physical Activity Monitoring for Aging People study (published data), accessible at https://archive. ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets) and Australia [52] (University of Queensland Where and When at Work study (published data) and University of Sydney Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity Study (unpublished data)) providing 103,607 activity samples from structured and free-living activities (17,267 min) were used to assess robustness and generalizability of the classifier (Supplementary Tables 8 and 9). For free-living activities participant-worn or researcher-held Go-Pro video-recordings were used to attain ground-truth physical activity. Video files were imported into the Noldus Observer XT software v16.0 for continuous direct observation coding. A two-stage direct observation scheme was implemented in which the participant's movement behavior was coded for activity type and then activity intensity based on the Compendium of Physical Activities [53]. The direct observation system generated a vector of date–time stamps corresponding to the start and finish of each movement event, which were used to assign the activity codes to the corresponding time segments of the accelerometer data. Interobserver reliability was assessed by dual coding. The intraclass correlation coefficient for coding activities was 0.91 (0.87-0.94).

Performance was further evaluated in a separate sample of 151 adults (age range 18-91 years, 65.6% female; Supplementary Fig. 5) recruited from the UK [34] (University of Oxford Capture 24 study (published data), accessible at https://ora. ox.ac.uk/objects/ uuid:99d7c092-d865-4a19-b096 cc16440cd001). Participants in this data set wore body cameras that provided pictures every 20 s to anno tate ground-truth free-living activity labels.

The picture-based activity coding scheme has been previously described [34]. A total of 172,360 activity samples (28,727 min) were provided by participants.

3.4. Outcome ascertainment

Because of the nature of rolling updates for the data linkage, participants were followed up to 31 October 2021, with deaths obtained through linkage with the National Health Service (NHS) Digital of England and Wales or the NHS Central Register and National Records of Scotland. CVD mortality was defined as death attributed to diseases of the circulatory system, excluding hypertension, diseases of arteries and lymph (ICD-10 codes: I0, I11, I13, I20-I51, I60-I69). Cancer mortality was defined as death attributed to any cancer excluded in situ, benign, uncertain, nonmelanoma skin cancer or non-well-defined cancers (ICD-10 codes beginning "C0", "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4" (excluding C49.9), "C5", "C6", "C70", "C71", "C72", "C73", "C74", "C75", "C7A", "C8" or "C9").

3.5. Statistical analyses

In our study, the range of VILPA values (and context-agnostic VPA values in exercisers) was capped at the 97.5 percentile to minimize the influence of sparse data. To reduce the possibility of reverse causation through prodromal/undiagnosed disease, all analyses excluded those with an event within the first 2 years of follow-up. We also excluded those with prevalent CVD and prevalent cancer at baseline (CVD and cancer mortality analyses, respectively).

We examined the dose–response of average daily duration and frequency of VILPA bouts lasting up to 1 min and up to 2 min using Cox proportional hazards (all-cause mortality) and Fine–Gray subdistribution hazards to account for competing mortality risks (CVD and cancer mortality) [54]. In all analyses, we set knots at the 10th, 50th and 90th percentiles. Departure from linearity was assessed by a Wald test. Proportional hazards assumptions were tested using Schoenfeld residuals in the models with all three outcomes and no violations were

observed (all *P* > 0.05). Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, daily duration of light- and of moderate-intensity physical activity, mutual adjustment for daily duration and frequency of vigorous-intensity physical activity bouts lasting more than 1 to 2 min as appropriate, smoking, alcohol, accelerometry-estimated sleep duration [35, 36], fruit and vegetable consumption, education, parental history of CVD and cancer, medication use (insulin, blood pressure, cholesterol). All-cause mortality analyses were also adjusted for prevalent CVD and cancer, CVD analyses were adjusted for prevalent cancer, and cancer analyses were adjusted for prevalent CVD (Supplementary Table 3 provides full covariate definitions).

In the exercisers stratum of the UK Biobank accelerometry sample, we repeated the above multivariable-adjusted analyses for daily duration and frequency of (context-agnostic) VPA for bouts lasting up to 2 min, and we compared findings with the equivalent VILPA findings using overlay dose–response plots.

To assert the degree to which VILPA and VPA may contribute to mortality beyond the associations of overall movement volume, we also carried out a volume analysis based on energy expenditure using methods analogous to the study by Strain *et al.* [18] We calculated physical activity energy expenditure for all VILPA and VPA bouts lasting up to 2 min.

To provide conservative point estimates we calculated the "minimal dose", defined as VILPA volume/frequency associated with 50% of the optimal risk reduction [37, 38]. We also present point estimates (HRs and 95% CI) associated with the median and maximum volume/frequency VILPA values. We calculated E-values to estimate the plausibility of bias from unmeasured confounding [30, 55].

We conducted sensitivity analyses of VILPA with additional adjustment for body mass index. To investigate potential reverse causation bias we also excluded participants who had poor self-rated health. In another sensitivity analysis, we tested the influence of applying a conservative definition

of "nonexercisers" by restricting analyses to the 10,230 participants who reported no recreational walking and no leisure time exercise.

We performed all analysis using R statistical software v.4.2.1 with RMS v.6.3.0 and survival package v.3.3.1.

We reported this study as per the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines (Supplementary Table 10).

3.6. Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

3.7. Data availability

The UK Biobank data that support the findings of this study can be accessed by researchers on application (https://www.ukbiobank. ac.uk/register-apply/). Variables derived specifically for this study will be returned along with the code to the UK Biobank for future applicants to request.

Availability of other datasets related to the study: University of California Irvine Center for Machine Learning and Intelligent Systems Physical Activity Monitoring for Aging People: https://archive. ics. uci.edu/ml/datasets; University of Queensland Where and When at Work study: available upon reasonable request to the study's PI [11]; University of Sydney Intermittent Lifestyle Physical Activity Study: available upon reasonable request to the authors; University of Oxford Capture 24 study: https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/ uuid:99d7c092-d865-4a19-b096-cc16440cd001

4. Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Portfolio reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-02100-x.

5. Acknowledgements

This research has been conducted using the UK Biobank Resource under Application Number 25813. The authors would like to thank all the participants and professionals contributing to the UK Biobank, and S. Paudel for her assistance with an early short report version of this manuscript. This study was funded by an Australian National Health and Medical Research Council Investigator Grant (APP1194510) and Ideas Grant (APP1180812). A.D. is supported by the Wellcome Trust (223100/Z/21/Z), National Institute for Health Research Oxford Biomedical Research Centre, Novo Nordisk, the British Heart Foundation Centre of Research Excellence (grant number RE/18/3/34214), the Alan Turing Institute and the British Heart Foundation (grant number SP/18/4/33803) and Health Data Research UK, an initiative funded by UK Research and Innovation, Department of Health and Social Care (England) and the devolved administrations, and leading medical research charities.

6. Author contributions

E.S. and M.N.A. had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. E.S. conceived the idea, designed the study and drafted the manuscript with the assistance of M.N.A., and obtained funding. M.N.A. processed and prepared the wearable sensors' data and carried out the statistical analyses of the paper. M.N.A., A.D. and J.M.R.G. revised the analytic design. A.D. critically evaluated the physical activity classification schema. All authors contributed to the interpretation of the data and provided critical revisions of the manuscript for important intellectual content over multiple rounds.

7. Code availability

The statistical code used in the analyses of this manuscript can be downloaded from https://zenodo.org/record/7187927#.Y0ZfoHZBy3A

8. References

- 1. Kraus, W. E. *et al.* Physical activity, all-cause and cardiovascular mortality, and cardiovascular disease. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **51**, 1270-1281 (2019).
- 2. Rezende, L. F. M. D. *et al.* Physical activity and cancer: an umbrella review of the literature including 22 major anatomical sites and 770 000 cancer cases. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **52**, 826-833 (2018).
- 3. Moore, S. C. *et al.* Association of leisure-time physical activity with risk of 26 types of cancer in 1.44 million adults. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **176**, 816-825 (2016).
- 4. Bull, F. C. *et al.* World Health Organization 2020 guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **54**, 1451-1462 (2020).
- 5. Piercy, K. L. *et al.* The physical activity guidelines for Americans. *JAMA* **320**, 2020-2028 (2018).
- 6. World Health Organization. *Global Recommendations on Physical Activity for Health* (World Health Organization, 2010).
- 7. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *2008 Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans* (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2008).
- 8. Oja, P. *et al.* Associations of specific types of sports and exercise with all-cause and cardiovascular-disease mortality: a cohort study of 80 306 British adults. *Br.J. Sports Med.* **51**, 812-817 (2017).
- 9. Stamatakis, E. & Chaudhury, M. Temporal trends in adults' sports participation patterns in England between 1997 and 2006: the Health Survey for England. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **42**, 901- 908 (2008).
- 10. Gebel, K. *et al.* Effect of moderate to vigorous physical activity on all-cause mortality in middle-aged and older Australians. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **175**, 970-977 (2015).
- 11. Wang, Y., Nie, J., Ferrari, G., Rey-Lopez, J. P. & Rezende, L. F. Association of physical activity intensity with mortality: a national cohort study of 403 681 US adults. *JAMA Intern*. Med. **181**, 203-211 (2021).
- 12. Rey Lopez, J. P., Gebel, K., Chia, D. & Stamatakis, E. Associations of vigorous physical activity with all-cause, cardiovascular and cancer mortality among 64 913 adults. *BMJOpen Sport Exerc. Med.* **5**, e000596 (2019).
- 13. Rey Lopez, J. P., Sabag, A., Martinez Juan, M., Rezende, L. F. M. & Pastor-Valero, M. Do vigorous-intensity and moderate-intensity physical activities reduce mortality to the same extent? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Open Sport Exerc. Med.* **6**, e000775 (2020).
- 14. Ross, R. *et al.* Importance of assessing cardiorespiratory fitness in clinical practice: a case for fitness as a clinical vital sign: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association.*Circulation* **134**, e653-e699 (2016).
- 15. World Cancer Research Fund *&* American Instritute for Cancer Research. Physical activity and the risk of cancer. *ContinuousUpdate Project* https://www.wcrf.org/wp-content/ uploads/2021/02/ Physical-activity.pdf (2018).
- 16. Matthews, C. E. *et al.* Amount and intensity of leisure-time physical activity and lower cancer risk. *J. Clin. Oncol.* **38**, 686-697 (2020).
- 17. O'Donovan, G., Lee, I.-M., Hamer, M. & Stamatakis, E. Association of "weekend warrior" and other leisure time physical activity patterns with risks for all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and cancer mortality. *JAMA Intern. Med.* **177**, 335-342 (2017).
- 18. Strain, T. *et al.* Wearable-device-measured physical activity and future health risk. *Nat. Med* **26**, 1385-1391 (2020).
- 19. Stamatakis, E. *et al.* Untapping the health enhancing potential of vigorous intermittent lifestyle physical activity (VILPA): rationale, scoping review, and a 4-Pillar research framework. *Sports Med.* **51**, 1-10 (2021).
- 20. Rey-Lopez, J. P., Stamatakis, E., Mackey, M., Sesso, H. D. & Lee, I. M. Associations of self-reported stair climbing with allcause and cardiovascular mortality: The Harvard Alumni Health Study. *Prev. Med. Rep*. **15**, 100938 (2019).
- 21. Celis-Morales, C. A. *et al.* The association between physical activity and risk of mortality is modulated by grip strength and cardiorespiratory fitness: evidence from 498 135 UK-Biobank participants. *Eur. Heart J.* **38**, 116-122 (2017).
- 22. Lamoureux, N. R. *et al.* Temporal trends in the cardiorespiratory fitness of 2,525,827 adults between 1967 and 2016: a systematic review. *Sports Med.* **49**, 41-55 (2019).
- 23. Bennie, J. A. *et al.* The descriptive epidemiology of total physical activity, muscle-strengthening exercises and sedentary behaviour among Australian adults – results from the National Nutrition and Physical Activity Survey. *BMC Public Health* **16**, 73 (2016).
- 24. Doherty, A. *et al.* Large scale population assessment of physical activity using wrist worn accelerometers: the UK Biobank Study. *PLoS ONE* **12**, e0169649 (2017).
- 25. Ramakrishnan, R. *et al.* Accelerometer measured physical activity and the incidence of cardiovascular disease: evidence from the UK Biobank cohort study. *PLoS Med.* **18**, e1003487 (2021).
- 26. Stevens, M. L. *et al.* Thigh-worn accelerometry for measuring movement and posture across the 24-hour cycle: a scoping review and expert statement. *BMJOpen SportExerc.Med.* **6**, e000874 (2020).
- 27. Stamatakis, E. *et al.* Emerging collaborative research platforms for the next generation of physical activity, sleep and exercise medicine guidelines: the Prospective Physical Activity, Sitting, and Sleep Consortium (ProPASS). *Br. J. Sports Med.* **54**, 435-437 (2020).
- 28. Dowd, K. P. *et al.* A systematic literature review of reviews on techniques for physical activity measurement in adults: a DED-IPAC study. *Int. J. Behav.Nutr. Phys. Act.* **15**, 15 (2018).
- 29. Hiral, M. J. *et al.* Association of step counts over time with the risk of chronic disease in the All of Us Research Program. *Nat. Med.* **28**, 2301-2308 (2022).
- 30. Ahmadi, M. N. *et al.* Vigorous physical activity incident heart disease and cancer: how little is enough? *Eur. Heart J.* ehac572 https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehac572 (2022).
- 31. Larrichia, F. Wearables statistics & facts. *Statista* https://www. statista.com/topics/1556/wearable-technology/#topicHeader wrapper (2022).
- 32. Walmsley, R. *et al.* Reallocation of time between device-measured movement behaviours and risk of incident cardiovascular disease. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **56**, 1008-1017 (2022).
- 33. Pavey, T. G., Gilson, N. D., Gomersall, S. R., Clark, B. & Trost, S. G. Field evaluation of a random forest activity classifier for wrist-worn accelerometer data. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* **20**, 75-80 (2017).
- 34. Willetts, M., Hollowell, S., Aslett, L., Holmes, C. & Doherty, Statistical machine learning of sleep and physical activity phenotypes from sensor data in 96,220 UK Biobank participants. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 7961 (2018).
- 35. Ahmadi, M. N., Nathan, N., Sutherland, R., Wolfenden, L. & Trost, S. G. Non-wear or sleep? Evaluation of five non-wear detection algorithms for raw accelerometer data. *J. Sports Sci*. **38**, 399-404 (2020).
- 36. van Hees, V. T. *et al.* Estimating sleep parameters using an accelerometer without sleep diary. *Sci. Rep*. **8**, 12975 (2018).
- 37. Ritz, C., Baty, F., Streibig, J. C. & Gerhard, D. Dose-response analysis using R. *PLoS ONE* **10**, e0146021 (2015).
- 38. Rampinelli, C. *et al.* Exposure to low dose computed tomography for lung cancer screening and risk of cancer: secondary analysis of trial data and risk–benefit analysis. *BMJ* **356**, j347 (2017).
- 39. Allison, M. K. *et al.* Brief intense stair climbing improves cardiorespiratory fitness. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **49**, 298-307 (2017).
- 40. Batacan, R. B., Duncan, M. J., Dalbo, V. J., Tucker, P. S. & Fenning, S. Effects of high-intensity interval training on cardiometabolic health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of intervention studies. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **51**, 494-503 (2017).
- 41. Han, M. *et al.* Cardiorespiratory fitness and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease and cancer: dose–response meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Br.J. Sports Med*. **56**, 733-739 (2022).
- 42. Keadle, S. K. *et al.* Reproducibility of accelerometer-assessed physical activity and sedentary time. *Am.J.Prev.Med.* **52**, 541-548 (2017).
- 43. Fry, A. *et al.* Comparison of sociodemographic and health- related characteristics of UK Biobank participants with those of the general population. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **186**, 1026-1034 (2017).
- 44. Stamatakis, E. *et al.* Is cohort representativeness passé? Poststratified associations of lifestyle risk factors with mortality in the UK Biobank. *Epidemiology* **32**, 179-188 (2021).
- 45. Del Pozo Cruz, B., Ahmadi, M.N., Lee, I.M. & Stamatakis, E. Prospective associations of daily step counts and intensity with cancer and cardiovascular disease incidence and mortality and all-cause mortality. *AMA Intern. Med.* **182**, 1139-1148 (2022).
- 46. Del Pozo Cruz, B., Ahmadi, M., Naismith, S.L. & Stamatakis, E. Association of daily step count and intensity with incident dementia in 78 430 adults living in the UK. *JAMA Neurol*. **79**, 1059- 1063 (2022).
- 47. Tanaka, H., Monahan, K. D. & Seals, D. R. Age-predicted maximal heart rate revisited. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **37**, 153-156 (2001).
- 48. Hildebrand, M., VT, V. A. N. H., Hansen, B. H. & Ekelund, U. Age group comparability of raw accelerometer output from wrist- and hip-worn monitors. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **46**, 1816- 1824 (2014).
- 49. Sipos, M., Paces, P., Rohac, J. & Novacek, P. Analyses of triaxial accelerometer calibration algorithms. *IEEESens.J.* **12**, 1157-1165 (2011).
- 50. Mizell, D. Using gravity to estimate accelerometer orientation. In *Proc. Seventh IEEE International Symposium on Wearable Computers* 252 (Citeseer, 2003).
- 51. Reiss, A., Weber, M. & Stricker, D. Exploring and extending the boundaries of physical activity recognition. In *2011 IEEE International Conference on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics* 46-50 (IEEE, 2011).
- 52. Clark, B. K., Winkler, E. A., Brakenridge, C. L., Trost, S. G. & Healy,G. N. Using Bluetooth proximity sensing to determine where office workers spend time at work. *PLoSONE* **13**, e0193971 (2018).
- 53. Ainsworth, B. *et al.* Second update of codes and MET values. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **39**, 1575-1581 (2011).
- 54. Austin, P. C. & Fine, J. P. Practical recommendations for reporting Fine-Gray model analyses for competing risk data. *Stat. Med.* **36**, 4391-4400 (2017).
- 55. Haneuse, S., VanderWeele, T.J. & Arterburn, D. Using the E-value to assess the potential effect of unmeasured confounding in observational studies. *JAMA* **321**, 602-603 (2019).